

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/647,297		HUH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hai Vo		1794	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Hai Vo

(2) Terry L. Clark

**Date of Interview:** 9 March 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hai Vo/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

**Status of Application:** 71

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:00 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Applicant's representative on March 9, 2009 to suggest the examiner's amendment to place the instant claims in condition for allowance. However, Applicant was not available at that time to approve the examiner's amendment. The RCE was filed 04/07/2009 with the amendments that were consistent with the examiner's suggestion.